

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/525,939	Applicant(s) BAJPAI ET AL.	
	Examiner Timothy C. Vanoy	Art Unit 1754	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Timothy C. Vanoy.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Gregory Lefkowitz, applicants' attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2007
Time: 11:10 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested authorization to amend the specification on pg. 18, paragraph no. 00061 by replacing "Description of Figures in the accompanying drawings:" with --Brief Description of the Drawings-- via an Examiner's Amendment. The attorney granted the examiner authorization to enter this proposed Examiner's Amendment..